

Petrophysical and mechanical rock property database of the Los Humeros and Acoculco geothermal fields (Mexico)

Leandra M. Weydt¹, Ángel Andrés Ramírez-Guzmán², Antonio Pola², Baptiste Lepillier³, Juliane Kummerow⁴, Giuseppe Mandrone⁵, Cesare Comina⁵, Paromita Deb⁶, Gianluca Norini⁷, Eduardo Gonzalez-Partida⁸, Denis Ramón Avellán⁹, José Luis Macías¹⁰, Kristian Bär¹, Ingo Sass^{1,11}

¹Department of Geothermal Science and Technology, Technische Universität Darmstadt, Schnittspahnstraße 9, 64287 Darmstadt, Germany

15 ²Escuela Nacional de Estudios Superiores – Unidad Morelia, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Antigua Carretera a Pátzcuaro 8701, 58190 Morelia, Michoacán, Mexico

³Faculty of Civil Engineering and Geosciences, Delft University of Technology, Stevinweg 1, Delft 2628CD, Netherlands

⁴Helmholtz Centre Potsdam – GFZ Research Centre for Geosciences, Section 6.2 – Geothermal Energy Systems, Telegrafenberg, 14473 Potsdam, Germany

⁵Department of Earth Sciences, University of Torino, Via Valperga Caluso 35, 10125 Torino, Italy

15 ⁶Institute for Applied Geophysics and Geothermal Energy, EON Energy Research Center, RWTH Aachen, Mathieustraße 10, 52074 Aachen, Germany

⁷Istituto di Geologia Ambientale e Geoingegneria, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Via Roberto Cozzi 53, 20125 Milano, Italy

⁸Centro de Geociencias, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, 76230 Juriquilla, Querétaro, Mexico

20 ⁹CONACYT – Instituto de Geofísica, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Antigua Carretera a Pátzcuaro 8701, 58190 Morelia, Michoacán, Mexico

¹⁰Instituto de Geofísica – Unidad Michoacán, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Antigua Carretera a Pátzcuaro 8701, 58190 Morelia, Michoacán, Mexico

25 ¹¹Darmstadt Graduate School of Excellence Energy Science and Engineering, Jovanka-Bontschits Straße 2, 64287 Darmstadt, Germany

Correspondence to: Leandra M. Weydt (weydt@geo.tu-darmstadt.de)

Abstract

Petrophysical and rock mechanical rock properties are key parameters for reservoir characterization but also for the parameterization of numerical models to simulate subsurface processes and for the interpretation of geophysical well logs and exploration surveys. Rock properties are characteristic for specific rock types and lithologies and are easily affected by tectonic events, diagenetic or metamorphic processes and hydrothermal alteration leading to a high variability. Thus, the detailed knowledge of petrophysical and rock mechanical data determined for each target unit significantly governs the accuracy and quality of modeling approaches and interpretation of geophysical surveys. A sound understanding of the controlling factors is needed to identify statistical and causal relationships between the properties as basis for a profound reservoir assessment and modeling.

However, detailed information on rock properties for the area of interest are often scarce, inconsistent or spread over multiple publications. Thus, subsurface models are often populated with generalized or assumed values resulting in high uncertainty. To overcome this knowledge gap, a new workflow was applied within the scope of the GEMex project (EU-H2020, GA Nr. 40 727550), which aims to develop new transferable exploration and exploitation approaches for enhanced and super-hot unconventional geothermal systems on two sites in the north-eastern part of the Trans-Mexican Volcanic Belt, the Acoculco and Los Humeros geothermal fields (Puebla). Both sites are caldera complexes comprising Pleistocene to Holocene basaltic to rhyolitic lavas, lava domes, scoria cones and ignimbrites emplaced on Miocene to Pleistocene basaltic and andesitic lavas (Pre-caldera volcanic basement) that are situated on top of intensively folded and thrustured Jurassic and Cretaceous limestones, 45 sandstones and shales (Mesozoic sedimentary basement, Fitz-Díaz et al., 2017). The Los Humeros geothermal system is steam dominated and has been exploited since the 1990's with 65 wellbores of which 28 are still producing. With temperatures above 380 °C at about 2 km depth (Pinti et al., 2017), the field is characterized as a super-hot geothermal system. Two exploration wells were drilled in the Acoculco geothermal field, which reached temperatures of approximately 300 °C at 2 km depth (Canet at al., 2015). Although a well-developed fracture network exist in the study area, no geothermal fluids were found in both wells 50 (López-Hernández et al., 2009). Therefore the system serves as a demonstration site for the development of a deep enhanced geothermal system (EGS).

Over the last few decades both caldera complexes have been the focus of several research projects regarding geothermal exploration and exploitation. However, almost no information exists about petrophysical and rock mechanical properties in the study area. GEMex aims to create integrated reservoir models at a local, regional and superregional scale including the 55 resulting data and models from different scientific disciplines (Jolie et al., 2018). This is the first time that the surrounding area of the caldera complexes is taken into account in 3D geological models (Calcagno et al. 2018). As a consequence, further data is needed for processing and interpreting geophysical data and for parameterizing numerical reservoir models. Therefore, outcrop analogue studies were conducted in order to define and characterize all key units from the basement to the cap rock and to identify geological heterogeneities on different scales (outcrop analysis, representative rock samples, thin sections and 60 chemical analysis), enabling reliable reservoir property prediction.

More than 300 rock samples were taken from about 140 representative outcrops inside of the Los Humeros and Acoculco calderas, the surrounding areas and from exhumed 'fossil systems' in Las Minas and Zacatlán. Additionally, 66 core samples from 16 wells of the Los Humeros geothermal field and 8 core samples from well EAC1 of the Acoculco geothermal field were obtained. Cylindrical plugs were drilled and prepared according to ASTM D4543-19. Subsequently, nondestructive tests 65 were performed to determine particle and bulk density, porosity, permeability, thermal conductivity, thermal diffusivity, specific and volumetric heat capacity, as well as compression and shear wave velocities, dynamic Young's modulus and passion ratio, magnetic susceptibility and electric resistivity. Thereby, thermal conductivity, thermal diffusivity, electric resistivity, compression and shear wave velocities were measured at dry and saturated conditions. Afterwards destructive rock mechanical tests were performed to determine tensile strength, uniaxial compressive strength, static Young's modulus and 70 Poisson ratio, bulk modulus, shear modulus, fracture toughness, cohesion and friction angle. Complementary thin section and

chemical analyses (XRD and XRF) were performed to provide information about the mineral assemblage, bulk geochemistry and the intensity of hydrothermal alteration. Detailed information on the experimental set-up, applied methods and measurement conditions are described in Weydt et al. (2020).

An extensive rock property database was created comprising 34 properties analyzed on 2,169 plugs covering volcanic rocks (950 plugs), sedimentary rocks (716 plugs), igneous rocks (147 plugs) and metamorphic rocks (356 plugs) of Jurassic to Holocene age. In total, 80 outcrop samples were collected for Acoculco and 226 outcrop samples were collected for Los Humeros including 101 samples from the exhumed system Las Minas. Thereby, 563 and 1606 plugs were analyzed for each system, respectively. The samples were related to their stratigraphic unit (Table 1) and classified into 1) Post-caldera volcanism, 2) Caldera volcanism, 3) Pre-caldera volcanism and the 4) Pre-volcanic basement (Mesozoic sedimentary basement). The reservoir core samples from the Los Humeros geothermal field were predominantly retrieved from basaltic to andesitic as well as rhyolitic lavas belonging to the Pre-caldera group. Only a few core samples cover the cap rock (Xaltipán ignimbrite) and the Pre-volcanic basement below (marble). The reservoir core samples from well EAC1 of the Acoculco geothermal field comprise ignimbrite (core 1), dacitic to rhyolitic lavas (core 2 and 3), skarn (core 4), marble (core 5) and granodiorite (core 5).

85

Table 1: Analyzed lithostratigraphic units of the Los Humeros and Acoculco geothermal fields

Unit	Los Humeros	Acoculco
Post-caldera group	Undefined pyroclastic deposits (n. d.) Basaltic lava (< 7 ka, n. d.) Ash fall deposits and basaltic lavas of the Xoxoctic member (n. d.)	Perdernal rhyolitic lava (1,600 ± 100 ka) Terrerillos andesitic lava (1,708 ± 54 ka) Manzanito basaltic trachyandesite (2,199 ± 24 ka) Augila basaltic trachyandesite (2,441 ± 234 ka)
Caldera group	Zaragoza ignimbrite (69 ± 16 ka) Xaltipán ignimbrite (164 ± 4.2 ka)	Acoculco andesitic ignimbrite (2,731.8 ± 185 ka)
Pre-caldera group	Teziutlán andesite (1.46 - 2.61 Ma) Cuyoaco andesite (8.9 - 10.5 Ma)	Miocene andesitic and basaltic trachyandesitic lava, Zacatlán basaltic plateau (n. d.)
Pre-volcanic basement	Miocene granite and granodiorite, marble and skarn, Cretaceous and Jurassic limestones, shales and sandstones	Cretaceous and Jurassic limestones, shales and sandstones

(n. d. = not dated so far), ages are retrieved from Carrasco-Núñez et al. (2017, 2018) and Avellán et al. (2018, 2019)

90

The database is provided as a flat file Excel format and as .csv format comprising a user friendly hierarchical structure to keep the handling as simple as possible and to allow for simple and fast filtering. The database is divided into two data-sheets. For each plug, the first data sheet comprises all 1) complementary sample information such as sample location, rock type, macroscopic description and plug dimensions, 2) results of non-destructive measurements including petrophysical, thermophysical and dynamic mechanical properties, as well as electric resistivity and magnetic susceptibility, and 3) results of destructive measurements. The second data sheet comprises all chemical data retrieved from XRF and XRD analyses performed on composite sample material obtained from 133 samples.

In total 31,350 data entries were generated (Table 2) allowing for detailed statistical and spatial geostatistical analyses on different scales, the population of 3D numerical models, the interpretation of geophysical data and the validation of different analytical methods. Furthermore, the data allows for the prediction of rock properties of target formations in the subsurface at early exploration stages or in case of low data density, which forms the basis for reservoir assessment and the estimation of uncertainties and related economic risks. Although the data base mainly provides information relevant for geothermal exploration and reservoir characterization related to two volcanic settings in the TMVB, it facilitates various applications in geo-scientific disciplines in comparable geological settings worldwide.

Table 2: Number of measurements for each parameter

Parameter	No. of measurements	Parameter	No. of measurements
Particle density	1,878	P-wave velocity (sat)	1,416
Bulk density	1,379	S-wave velocity (sat)	1,375
Porosity	1,352	Dynamic Young's modulus (dry)	1,752
Permeability	1,052	Dynamic Young's modulus (sat)	1,375
Thermal conductivity (dry)	1,669	Dynamic Poisson ratio (dry)	1,736
Thermal conductivity (sat)	1,465	Dynamic Poisson ratio (sat)	1,375
Thermal diffusivity (dry)	1,617	Dynamic Shear modulus (dry)	1,743
Thermal diffusivity (sat)	1,396	Dynamic Shear modulus (sat)	1,375
Specific heat capacity	210	UCS	465
Specific heat capacity (calculated)	1,093	Static Young's modulus	242
Volumetric heat capacity	210	Static Poisson ratio	243
Magnetic susceptibility	921	Shear modulus	209
Electric resistivity (dry)	31	Bulk modulus	209
Electric resistivity (sat)	50	Tensile strength	407
Formation factor	39	Fracture toughness	86
P-wave velocity (dry)	1,819	Friction angle	20
S-wave velocity (dry)	1,753	Coehsion	20
Total			31,982

References

- 110 ASTM D4543-19: Standard Practices for Preparing Rock Core Specimens and Determining Dimensional and Shape Tolerances, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 13 pp., doi: 10.1520/D4543-19, 2019.
- Avellán, D. R., Macías, J. L., Layer, P. W., Sosa-Ceballos, G., Cisneros, G., Sanchez, J. M., Martha Gómez-Vasconcelos, G., López-Loera⁵, H., Reyes Agustín, G., Marti, J., Osorio, S., García-Sánchez, L., Pola-Villaseñor, A., García-Tenorio², F.,
115 and Benowitz, J.: Geology of the Pleistocene Acoculco Caldera Complex, eastern Trans-Mexican Volcanic Belt (México). *Journal of Maps*, 11 pp., doi: 10.1080/17445647.2018.1531075, 2018.
- Avellán, D. R., Macías, J. L., Layer, P. W., Sosa-Ceballos, G., Gómez-Vasconcelos, M. G., Cisneros-Máximo, G., Benowitz, J.: Eruptive chronology of the Acoculco caldera complex – A resurgent caldera in the eastern Trans-Mexican Volcanic Belt (México). *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, 17 pp., 102412. doi: 10.1016/j.jsames.2019.102412, 2020.
- 120 Calcagno, P., Evanno, G., Trumpy, E., Gutiérrez-Negrín, L. C., Macías, J. L., Carrasco-Núñez, G., and Liotta, D.: Preliminary 3-D geological models of Los Humeros and Acoculco geothermal fields (Mexico) – H2020 GEMex Project. *Adv. Geosci.*, 45, 321-333, doi: 10.5194/adgeo-45-321-2018, 2018.
- Canet, C., Trillaud, F., Prol-Ledesma, R., González-Hernández, G., Peláez, B., Hernández-Cruz, B., and Sánchez-Córdova, M. M.: Thermal history of the Acoculco geothermal system, eastern Mexico: Insights from numerical modeling and
125 radiocarbon dating. *J. Volcanol. Geoth. Res.*, 305, 56-62, 2015.
- Carrasco-Núñez, G., Hernández, J., De León, L., Dávilla, P., Norini, G., Bernal, J. P., Jicha, B., Jicha, B., Navarro, M., and López-Quiroz, P.: Geologic Map of Los Humeros volcanic complex and geothermal field eastern Trans-Mexican Volcanic Belt, *terra digitalis*, 1(2), 1-11, doi: 10.22201/igg.terradigitalis.2017.2.24.78, 2017a.
- Carrasco-Núñez, G., Bernal, J. P., Dávilla, P., Jicha, B., Giordano, G. and Hernández, J.: Reappraisal of Los Humeros Volcanic
130 Complex by New U/Th Zircon and 40Ar/39Ar Dating: Implications for Greater Geothermal Potential. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 19, 132-149, doi: 10.1002/2017GC007044, 2018.
- Fitz-Díaz, E., Lawton, T.F., Juárez-Arriaga, E., Chávez-Cabello, G., 2017: The Cretaceous-Paleogene Mexican orogen: structure, basin development, magmatism and tectonics. *Earth-Sci. Rev.*, 183, 56-84, doi: 10.1016/j.earscirev.2017.03.002, 2017.
- 135 Jolie, E., Bruhn, D., López Hernández, A., Liotta, D., Garduño-Monroy, V. H., Lelli, M., Páll Hersir, G., Arango-Galván, C., Bonté, D., Calcagno, P., Deb, P., Clauser, C., Peters, E., Hernández Ochoa, A. F., Huenges, E., González Acevedo, Z. I., Kieling, K., Trumpy, E., Vargas, J., Gutiérrez-Negrín, L. C., Aragón-Aguilar, A., Halldórsdóttir, S., González Partida, E., van Wees, J.-D., Ramírez Montes, M. A., Díez León, H. D., and the GEMex team: GEMex – A Mexican-European Research Cooperation on Development of Superhot and Engineered Geothermal Systems, *Proceedings, 43rd Workshop on*
140 *Geothermal Reservoir Engineering* Stanford University, Stanford, California, February 12-14, 2018, SGP-TR-2013, 10 pp., 2018.
- López-Hernández, A., García-Estrada, G., Aguirre-Díaz, G., González-Partida, E., Palma-Guzmán, H. and Quijano-Léon, J.: Hydrothermal activity in the Tulancingo-Acoculco Caldera Complex, central Mexico: Exploratory studies. *Geothermics*, 38, 279-293, doi: 10.1016/j.geothermics.2009.05.001, 2009.

- 145 Pinti, D.L., Castro, M. C., Lopez-Hernandez, A., Han, G., Shouakar-Stash, O., Hall, C. M., and Ramírez-Montes, M.: Fluid circulation and reservoir conditions of the Los Humeros Geothermal Field (LHGF), Mexico, as revealed by a noble gas survey, *J. Volcanol. Geoth. Res.*, 333–334, 104-115, doi: 10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2017.01.015, 2017.
- Weydt, L. M., Ramírez-Guzmán, A. A., Pola, A., Lepillier, B., Kummerow, J., Mandrone, G., Comina, C., Deb, P., Norini, G., Gonzalez-Partida, E., Avellán, D. R., Macías, J. L. , Bär, K., and Sass, I.: Petrophysical and mechanical rock property database of the Los Humeros and Acolulco geothermal fields (Mexico), *Earth System Science Data Discussions*, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2020-139>, in review, 2020.
- 150